

Friends of Lucy's Mill Bridge - Town Hall Exhibition - 2nd December 2017 RE v1
Feedback Forms

Ref	1. Resident of SOA?	2. What do you think of ramps design?	3. Do you use Lucy's Mill Bridge? Do you have problems using it?	4. What do you know and think of other options that have been put forward?	5. Would you like to sign up to the news letter? If yes please add email address.
1	Yes	Yes, good. Depends on how many people use it eg. Disabled, elderly, buggies. Not going to be majority problematic eg alternate routes. But still it's a good idea. Money can be used elsewhere.	Not often. No problems.	Don't know anything about it. No need to build other bridge, there is the main bridge.	No
2	Yes	It is a good proposal. Have you considered building a small lift either end of the bridge which will cost less and money can be spent on re-creating on other projects.	Yes. Not at the moment.	See note above. Also, considering expending the footbridge adjacent to the traffic bridge. More foot passengers able to use the footbridge than many.	email
3	Yes	Like the design, provided we keep swans island downstream and greenery as far as possible (images look overdeveloped)	Yes. Can't take bike. I past couldn't take children in pushchairs or mother in wheelchair (Bentley	Not informed.	Already receiving it.
4	Yes	I really like the design. I like that the ramp goes behind the trees and there is not too much impact on the existing paths and riverbank.	Yes. I cycle so have to carry a heavy bike across. Sometimes I just get in the car instead.	Great - I don't mind how - I just want to get across with my bike!	Mhairi Peters email
5	Yes	Fine but I wonder how many people will use it - should be a boon to wheelchair and pushchair users.	Ocasionally. Only the steps	na	No thanks
6	Yes	An excellent solution to an on going problem. Appears to be thoroughly researched and designed with form and functionality in mind and achieved.	Yes I do but don't experience any issues personally	I don't know enough to comment	No

7	No	OK but you say nothing about your aim to restrict cycling. If there is room for two wheelchairs/motor scooters to pass, there is space for two cyclists. Of course care will be required but all users have to trust one another.	Yes	na	No
8	Yes	Quite good	Yes. Not at the moment but age is catching up.	This is the best option	email
9	Yes	Ideal solution to an ongoing problem	Yes we use it, but No to problems. We were unable to use it when we had young	The one suggested near the Clopton Bridge and would	Already signed up.
10	No	Good idea	Yes, when visiting	Not really to comment	No, thanks
11	Yes	V G	I do use bridge. But no problem	I like Lucy's Mill Bridge	email
12	Yes	Great! At last!	Not as often as I used to, but it was never a problem for me. I was always in favour of improvements though.	As a member of Stratford voice I helped to prevent the unwanted Theatre Bridge (2008?). Other suggestion for Lucy's Mill were acceptable but inexplicably rejected by WCC & SDC.	E. Ward email
13	Yes	They look promising	Yes. Yes if I'm on a bike.	I would like a bridge to replace the ferry	Andrew Dow andrewdow451@btinternet.com
14	Yes	Given that the design has to be on Council owned ground, it seems good.	Yes. Steps are very steep and awkward.	A small pedestrian bridge nearer town where the ferry is would be very useful	na
15	Yes	Approve - think about the flooding problems if possible especially if there were any plans to build a new bridge (basin effect). Good that nothing about the actual bridge is going to change. Worried about design as lives next to existing bridge.	Almost daily. No problems.	Other proposal of ramp looked straight in to my lounge. Good that there are no plans to put additional pylons in the river - flooding.	na

16	Yes	Good - same sort of thing as railway	Yes. No proble,s. Though pushchair.	Thought other bridge was a good lead but this one is cheaper.	na
17	Yes	The ramps are very long, do they need to be?	Yes, I like the bridge. I'm often on my bike which is a pain to lift up the steps and down the other side.	Don't know of other options.	I'm already signed up I think. David Harvey. Email
18	Yes	Overall, excellent design. Please check if cargobike, tandem or bike with traylor will be walked across easily.	Yes we do. Yes, lifting bikes, push chairs, etc.	We don't know about other options	Yes please. Trevor Bruce. Email
19	Yes	I like the design, particularly on the rec side of the river.	Use it a lot. Don't have any problems but have seen many others who do.	Don't know enough about them.	Already signed up.
20	Yes	The design appears pleasingly in keeping with the existing structure. It is commendable that the existing steps are retained so that there is no obstruction to current versatility.	I use the bridge on occasion. I am fortunate that it presents no difficulties for me.	I would favou this modification to the existing bridge. A new river span would be more costly and create another visual barrier.	Andy Tinkler email
21	Yes	Glad to see Mill Lane side is using higher footpath rather than the one from Mill Lane.	Yes. Steps are very deep.	Not a lot	na
22	Yes	It needs a ramp and I think this will help access for not only people with limited mobility but also people/children with bikes and scooters.	Yes. Same problems crossing with children and bikes.	Do not know of other options.	na
23	Yes	Excellent idea. So frustrating not to be able to do the circular tour from recreation ground back to town with a wheelchair, electric scooter, pushchairs etc. A long needed improvement.	Over the years I have carried pushchairs, tricycles, bicycles..., and now my father can no longer use it (wheelchair bound).	OK for new bridge near Lucy's Mill. Not in favour of another one closer to town centre as this would spoil the view. But all a question of cost I suppose! Present proposal seems reasonably priced.	na
24	Yes	A good idea and plan. Long overdue!	Yes. Not yet.	na	Patrick Watson 19watson52@gmail.com

25	Yes	Great idea	Yes. Taking bike over the bridge means hard work.	na	email
26	Yes	Not ideal solution but is a solution rather than do nothing. Bridge is too narrow. Better than what we've got. Quite long.	Daily. Past problems, bikes, pushchairs.	Would've been in favour of new bridge closer to ferry but it is important to get whole walk in.	email
27	No	Excellent idea if visual impact is considered	Yes. Steep steps are a problem with a disability.	Do not know much about alternatives but this seems the least radical solution	Mrs Yvonne Abrahams email
28	Yes	It does the job but needs a lot of space on either side	Yes, every day. Not at the momeent but I have good mobility.	Nothing although maybe we have to scrap the existing bridge and start again.	na
29	Yes	Useable for wheelchairs, pushchairs and bikes and therefore very helpful.	Yes. I am mobile so no problems.	I liked the proposed theater bridge.	Rosemary Keep. Email
30	Yes	Appears to be very suitable design considering expensive alternatives. Generally in favour. Ideal for access to Greenway/Waitrose. Would be well used by more people.	Use 2 to 3 times weekly. Problems when cycling	Prefer this bridge to be accepted.	Not on email.
31	Yes	Very good	Yes. No	?	Jim Booth jwboothjim@yahoo.co.uk
32	Yes	Preferable to previous designs if somewhat on a larger scale	Yes. No	Totally impractical!	Already done.
33	Yes	Really good. Fits with my ideas.	Yes, over 3 times a week. Yes, bad knees, hand rails hard/steep.	Ideal to have only a ramp - rather than a whole new bridge seems extreme.	na